EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

TIVO INC.,)
Plaintiffs,) Case No. 2:09-cv-257-JRG
vs.)
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; VERIZON SERVICES CORP.; VERIZON CORPORATE RESOURCES GROUP, LLC; VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.; and VERIZON DATA SERVICES LLC,) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED))))
Defendants.)

TIVO'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge. Start with Question No. 1 and proceed through the questions following the directions included in this Verdict Form.

1. Has TiVo proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Verizon infringes the '389 TimeWarp Patent, the '465 Multi-Program Patent, and/or the '015 Overshoot

Corre	ction Patent?	,	,
Time	-	U.S. Patent No. 6,233,389 nding for TiVo.	"No" is a finding for Verizon.)
	Claim 31	YES	NO
	Claim 61	YES	NO
Multi	_	ent: U.S. Patent No. 7,529,4 nding for TiVo.	65 "No" is a finding for Verizon.)
	Claim 1	YES	NO
	Claim 4	YES	NO
	Claim 8	YES	NO
	Claim 10	YES	NO
	Claim 13	YES	NO
Overshoot Correction Patent: U.S. Patent No. 7,493,015 ("Yes" is a finding for TiVo. "No" is a finding for Verizon.)			
	Claim 3	YES	NO
	Claim 15	YES	NO
	Claim 19	YES	NO

	2.	If you have found that Verizon infringes any claim of the '389 TimeWarp
Patent,	please	determine whether such infringement was willful.

Has TiVo proven by clear and convincing evidence that Verizon's infringement was willful?

("YES" is a finding for TiVo.	"No" is a finding for Verizon.)
YES	NO

Patent, and the '015 Overshoot Correction Patent, did Verizon prove by clear and convincing

For each asserted claim of the '389 TimeWarp Patent, the '465 Multi-Program

evidence that such	claim is invalid?	
Check the t	first column indicated as "val	id" or check the second column indicated as
-	t: U.S. Patent No. 6,233,389 a finding for TiVo.	"Invalid" is a finding for Verizon.)
	<u>VALID</u>	INVALID
Claim 31		
Claim 61		
Multi-Program P	atent: U.S. Patent No. 7,529	9,465
("Valid" is	a finding for TiVo.	"Invalid" is a finding for Verizon.)
	<u>VALID</u>	<u>INVALID</u>
Claim 1		
Claim 4		
Claim 8		
Claim 10		
Claim 13		
	ction Patent: U.S. Patent No. a finding for TiVo.	o. 7,493,015 "Invalid" is a finding for Verizon.)
	<u>VALID</u>	INVALID
Claim 3		
Claim 15		
Claim 19		

3.

4.	If you have found that Verizon infringed one or more valid claims of the '389
TimeWarp F	atent, the '465 Multi-Program Patent, or the '015 Overshoot Correction Patent,
please state t	he amount of damages that would fairly and adequately compensate TiVo for
Verizon's inf	ringement.

5.	Has Verizon proven by a preponderance of the evidence that TiVo infringes
the '344 Paten	t?

("Yes" is a finding for Verizon.		"No" is a finding for TiVo.)
Claim 2	YES	NO
Claim 3	YES	NO
Claim 4	YES	NO

6.	If you have found that TiVo infringes any claim of the '344 Patent, please
determine who	ether such infringement was willful.

Has Verizon proven by clear and convincing evidence that TiVo's infringement was willful?

("YES" is a finding for Verizon.	"No" is a finding for TiVo.)
YES	NO

7. For each asserted claim of the '344 Patent, did TiVo prove by clear and convincing evidence that such claim is invalid?

Check the first column indicated as "valid" or check the second column indicated as "invalid."

("Valid" is a finding for TiVo.		"Invalid" is a finding for Verizon.)
	<u>VALID</u>	INVALID
Claim 2		
Claim 3		
Claim 4		<u></u>

-		nfringed one or more valid claims of the '344	
Patent, please state the amount of damages that would fairly and adequately compensate Verizon for TiVo's infringement.			
verizon for 11 vos infringer	nent.		
	\$		
		Signed thisday of	
		e	
		JURY FOREPERSON	
		JONI I ONEI EMBON	